# OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

## SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATIONS – MONITORING TRACKER

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor John Fisher, Portfolio Holder for Corporate Management
Portfolio Holder Consulted	No
Relevant Head of Service	Claire Felton, Head of Legal, Equalities, and Democratic Services
Ward(s) Affected	No specific ward relevance.
Non-Key Decision	

### 1. <u>SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS</u>

This report provides an update on the action that has been taken to implement recommendations made through the scrutiny process since the previous update was provided.

### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

The Committee is asked to RESOLVE that

the report be noted.

#### 3. <u>KEY ISSUES</u>

#### **Background**

- 3.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee monitors the implementation of scrutiny recommendations. The latest update on progress with the implementation of scrutiny recommendations is attached at Appendix 1.
- 3.2 Wherever possible an estimated date for the final implementation of outstanding recommendations has been provided. In cases where an action requested through a scrutiny recommendation has been resolved the recommendation has been recorded as being completed.
- 3.3 The Committee will be aware that there are some recommendations where it would be reasonable for Officers to require further time to implement. For example, Officers would require some time to implement recommendations made at a recent meeting of the Committee. Where possible an explanation has been provided for the delay in implementation.
- 3.4 Members are invited to consider the updates provided regarding these recommendations and to identify whether any further action is required to facilitate the implementation of any of these recommendations.

## REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

# OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

- 3.5 The Committee may conclude that, based on the update provided by Officers, it is no longer feasible to implement the action proposed in a recommendation. In these cases Members may want to agree to remove the recommendation from the tracker report and to ask Officers to take no further action.
- 3.6 The Committee is asked to note that once recommendations have been implemented they will be removed from the tracker, though any updates contained in previous editions of the report will remain available to view on the Council's website.

#### **Financial Implications**

3.7 There are no direct financial implications directly relating to this report.

#### Legal Implications

3.8 There are no legal implications directly relating to this report.

#### Service / Operational Implications

3.9 There are no direct service or operational implications that have been identified for this report.

#### **Customer / Equalities and Diversity Implications**

3.10 No direct customer or equality and diversity implications have been identified for this report.

#### 4. <u>RISK MANAGEMENT</u>

No risks have been identified.

#### 5. <u>APPENDICES</u>

Appendix 1 – Recommendation Tracker.

#### AUTHOR OF REPORT

Name:Jess Bayley, Democratic Services OfficerEmail:jess.bayley@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.ukTel.:(01527) 64252